		Page 1			Page 3	
_	STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA) IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS	1	INDEX TO EXAMINATION		
_	COUNTY OF CHARLESTON) THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT	-	Witness:		
3) CASE NO: 2025-CP-10-00981		Donehue, Wesley (sworn)		
	GLT2, LLC,)	-	Examination by Mr. Brewer	4	
5	Plaintiff,			Court Reporter's Certificate	81	
	vs.)	6			
7)	7			
	JANE DOE and JOHN DOE,)	8			
9	Defendants.)	9			
10)		10			
11			11	INDEX TO EXHIBITS		
12				Exhibit A	7	
13				Subpoena		
14				Exhibit B	27	
15				Federal Election Commission documents	00	
16	•			Exhibit C	28	
	7 before Lori Webber, Stenographic Court Reporter and Notary			Complaint	F.2	
	8 Public, at Clark Bolen, 29 Gamecock Avenue, Suite 200, 9 Charleston, South Carolina, on Monday, April 28, 2025,			Exhibit D	53	
	commencing at 10:16 a.m.			Congressional floor speech	67	
				Exhibit E	67	
21				WinRed text message Exhibit F	69	
23				X/Twitter compilation	0,5	
24				A/IWICCEI COMPITACION		
25						
		Page 2	25		Page 4	
1	APPEARANCES:	rage 2	1	WESLEY DONEHUE,	rage 4	
2	By: BARRETT BREWER, Esq. (via Zoom) 510 Mill Street, Suite 2B Mount Pleasant, South Carolina 29464		2	2 being first duly sworn by LORI WEBBER, a Notary Public		
3			3	3 within and for the State of South Carolina, was examined		
4			4	and testified on his oath as follows:		
5			5	EXAMINATION		
6	For the Plaintiff			6 BY MR. BREWER:		
7	COPELAND STAIR VALZ & LOVELL			Q Mr. Donehue, thank you for being here with us		
8	40 Calhoun Street, Suite 400			today. My name is Barrett Brewer, and I am here on		
9	Charleston, South Carolina 29401 (843) 226-8228 dmackelcan@csv1.law For the Deponent		-	of GLT, LLC, to take a deposition regarding information		
10				you might have in terms of your relationship,		
11				communications, conversations with Nancy Mace.		
12			12			
13				please state your full name for the record?		
14				A John Wesley Donehue; and I go by my nickname,		
15				Wesley.		
16			16			
17			17			
18						
19				Q Gotcha.		
20				Let me get some background from you real quick. Where'd you go to college at?		
21						
22						
23 24				Q Okay. And what is your current occupation and	is it	
24				and what is your current occupation and relevant to anything you did at USC?	10 10	
∠5	ر			refevant to anything you did at obe:		

I am an entrepreneur and political consultant 1 BY MR. BREWER: I understand that you have or are a member of 0 Okav. 3 or have ownership in four different companies related to In coming to discuss your involvement with 4 your political consulting? 4 Ms. Mace professionally, individually, or through any of Yes. But it's more than that. I mean, for 5 these entities, we sent you a subpoena on April 3rd, 2025. 5 6 matters of this, Push Digital Group has four public 6 And I've got a picture of that up on the screen. Can you 7 companies, but it also has a few other subsidiary 7 confirm this is the subpoena that you received that led to 8 companies used for various things. 8 your deposition here today? 9 0 Gotcha. Α Yes, sir. I actually have no idea how many companies I Of course, you are represented by counsel here, 10 Ω 11 own, if you wanna know the truth, but it's more than four. 11 which is Mr. MacKelcan; is that correct? 12 12 Yes, sir. So of the companies that you own that are All right. 13 13 14 relevant to our inquiry regarding Nancy Mace, her One of the things we asked is that you bring 15 campaign, or anything to do with her congressional office, 15 with you any notes, reports, basically, documentation that 16 that would be Push, Drive, and Campaign Solutions? 16 you thought might be relevant to the deposition today. It would be. But I will tell you, Drive Public 17 Did you produce any type of documentation, communications, 17 18 Affairs did a rebrand about a year, year and a half ago. 18 texts, et cetera, that you need to produce to us today or 19 So anything related could've been under a former company 19 that you might've produced to Mr. MacKelcan that we need 20 name called Push Advocacy. No; actually, it would've been 21 Laurens Group. I'm sorry; it would've been called Laurens I did not. 21 Α 22 Group. 2.2 0 Okav. Are these all South Carolina entities? 23 (Discussion held off the record.) 23 They are not. I have a partner based out of 24 BY MR. BREWER: 25 Dallas, Texas. I believe they're all Texas entities, if 0 So I've shown you Exhibit A, which is the Page 6 Page 8 1 subpoena. And obviously, sometimes we send subpoenas that 1 I'm not mistaken. 2 Ω Okay. 2 have requests because I don't know what you have and what 3 you don't have. So I guess one thing that I'd like to ask So to the extent I'm using the appropriate 4 name, when we talk about Push, what's the appropriate 4 right now is if you didn't bring any documents with you, 5 corporate name for Push? 5 I'd like to know what might exist out in the world; right? So there's Push Digital Group. 6 Α 6 And also, this is gonna be part of our communication for 0 Your umbrella. 7 the next hour or so because you had communications with 8 Ms. Mace. You could've had those in your capacity The umbrella accompany. And there are four 9 main companies under that: Push Digital, which is a 9 personally or individually. 10 advertising and content development company; Campaign 10 11 Solutions is a fundraising company; Drive Public Affairs, You could've had those communications through 12 formerly known as Laurens Group, is a corporate 12 any one of your multiple entities wearing that hat, if you 13 communication company that also handles congressional 13 will; right? 14 franking; (inaudible). 15 THE STENOGRAPHIC REPORTER: I'm sorry; And that might control whether or not what 16 what was the last one? 16 records you may or may not have or what company may or may THE WITNESS: Drive Public Affairs, 17 not have, so I just need to figure out what we're 18 previously known as Laurens Group. 18 discussing and what the world of potential things that THE STENOGRAPHIC REPORTER: Okay; I got 19 exist might be. 19 20 that one. So did you ever have -- if you communicated THE WITNESS: And the fourth group is 21 with Ms. Mace, did you communicate with her by social 22 Right Country Lists. We call it RCL, but I don't think it 22 media apps or direct messaging apps or anything like that? 23 has anything to do with this. I don't think it ever did Yes. Most of my communications with Nancy were 24 any business with the campaign or the congressional 24 either on the phone or through Signal. There were very

25 few actual text messages; and anything e-mail would've

25 office.

Page 11 1 been actual work related. And I'm sure that I can pull Yeah. 2 hundreds, if not thousands, of those records if I needed 0 Okav 3 to. She ran -- I guess that was 2018? I got it. Sounds about right. It looks like, yeah, the Well that's why I didn't want you to go through 5 election was -- where she beat him was November 4th of 6 all that work at this moment unless we determined we might 6 2020. 7 need; right? Oh, '20; okay. Α It would be quite a big haul. So to your credit, Joe must've started serving 8 Well if you communicated via Signal, would 9 in '18, which meant Nancy would've been mounting her 10 campaign sometime '18 or '19. 10 Signal be all work, all personal, or combination of work 11 and personal? Α All these election years run together for me. I'm certain that's true. 12 Combination. Okay. So initially when you reach out and have coffee 13 13 14 Did you save any Signal communications between 14 with Nancy, that initially is going to be a relationship 15 yourself and Ms. Mace? 15 between you and Nancy individually and between what 16 company and Nancy? Is that the --16 And to make sure I'm using -- sometimes I find Push Digital. 17 17 Push Digital or Campaign Solutions in --18 I have to ask questions different ways to make sure we're 18 Ω 19 saying the same thing. We acquired Campaign Solutions in the end of 2.0 Are there any screenshots of any Signal 20 2022, so Campaign Solutions wouldn't have been under our 21 umbrella at that moment. 21 communications? So is this Laurens Group or Push Digital or 2.2 Α No. Okay. 23 both? 23 Do you remember when you first got introduced Α It would've been Push Digital. 24 In 2018 or '19 -- whenever started the 25 to Ms. Mace? Like roughly, when did the timeline of Page 12 arrangement with Ms. Mace's campaign -- is Push Digital --Page 10 1 communications with her start? I mean, I've known Nancy for well over a 2 what is Push Digital doing for the campaign? A At that time -- you know, I don't recall 3 decade. I have no idea. Ο Okay. 4 exactly, but I believe it was, you know, just overall So you had a personal relationship before it 5 digital strategy, content, and advertising. And probably 6 some fundraising, too. I'd have to go back and pull the 6 became professional? No. I mean, it was always more professional. 7 contract and look at those records. I just don't recall 8 Nancy tried to start a digital agency 15 years ago when I 8 the exact dates, but it should've fallen under that 9 was -- you know, it failed and mine didn't; so we knew 9 category, digital strategy. 10 each other when she was bouncing around in South Carolina Do you enter into a new contract for every 11 campaign or one contract at the beginning and that governs 11 politics. And then I knew her when she was a House 12 member. And, you know, when she decided to run for 12 your whole relationship? 13 Congress, I reached out to her and we met for coffee I don't know the answer to that. I believe it 14 about, you know, helping her on her campaign. 14 just stays from the beginning. 15 O Got it. Look, I should also just very clearly state on 16 So was that the first professional initiation 16 the record the Push Digital is a larger company, over 80 17 of your professional relationship with Nancy in terms of 17 staff. I think now we're probably at like 75 staff. So 18 actually doing work, paid work, for her in some capacity 18 there's a lot that goes on at the company that I don't 19 started with her initial run for the House seat in 19 necessarily know about. Like we have two guys that handle 20 all these contracts; so I'm not always in the loop on how 20 Charleston? Α Yes. You can google that year. I don't even 21 certain things get done with the company, such as when 22 remember when it was. 22 people sign contracts. I believe -- it's really a

I don't, either.

They're every two years.

The race that she ran against Joe Cunningham.

23

24

Α

23 question I don't know the answer to that one.

Q So tell me about -- hold on one quick second.

25 I just gotta text my wife something. I just got a note

1 she needs me to confirm.

- 2 So did you develop a personal relationship with
- 3 Nancy simultaneous with the Push Digital relationship in
- 4 2018 or '19?
- 5 A Yeah; we became friends. You know, in the
- 6 position I have, you become a close confidante to a lot of
- 7 these candidates.
- 8 Q I can imagine that.
- 9 Did you come to know Patrick Bryant through
- 10 your relationship with Nancy Mace or did you know Patrick
- 11 separately?
- 12 A I knew Patrick separately. I knew Patrick, I
- 13 should say, probably around 10 years now. When I moved my
- 14 family and the company from Columbia to Charleston, I
- 15 joined The Harbor Entrepreneur Center. And through that,
- 16 I met Patrick.
- 17 Q When did --
- 18 A I knew them independently of each other before
- 19 they were dating.
- 20 Q Do you recall when they started dating or how
- 21 that intertwined or intervened with your campaign
- 22 relationship with Nancy?
- 23 A Oh, yeah. I mean, I don't remember the
- 24 timeline or the dates or anything; but I very clearly
- 25 remember like, you know, talking to both of 'em.
 - Page 14
- 2 A You know, like this is interesting.
- 3 Q Yeah; right. Your world kinda came together,
- 4 huh?
- 5 A Right.
- 6 O Do you recall in your personal experience with
- 7 Nancy Mace, either in her individual capacity or through
- 8 her campaign or her congressional capacity, do you recall
- $9\,$ when Nancy and Patrick's relationship began to show signs
- 10 of trouble? Like do you have a recollection of when that
- 11 was?
- 12 A I don't recall specifically, because there were
- 13 numerous instances of trouble along the way.
- 14 Q I got it. I understand. Let me be a little
- 15 more precise.
- 16 Do you remember when Nancy and Patrick broke
- 17 up?
- 18 A Oh, yeah; yeah. But they were having trouble
- 19 before they broke up; but yeah, I remember clearly.
- 20 Q I get that.
- 21 A Yeah.
- I know this isn't a question, but the Post and
- 23 Courier article about their breakup, I literally wrote the
- 24 statement for Patrick and for Nancy. I was very much in
- 25 the middle of their breakup.

- Page 13 Page 15
 - 2 about the breakup of Patrick and Nancy, are you doing that
 - ${\tt 3}$ as a friend, do you do that as a campaign -- like is it
 - 4 involved with Nancy's campaign, do you do it involved with
 - 5 her office? Like what is that role?
 - 6 A It's as Nancy's advisor. And, you know, I was
 - 7 helping Patrick as a friend; but also, as Nancy's advisor,
 - 8 I wanted to make sure that he wasn't taking any stabs at
 - 9 her. So I guess for Nancy, I was doing it for the
 - 10 campaign. For Patrick, I was doing it both for Patrick
 - 11 and for Nancy. You know, I was just trying to make sure
 - 12 that neither one of them were going to get hurt in the
 - 13 middle of this breakup so I was trying to help with a
 - 14 amicable public breakup, if that makes sense.
 - 15 O Yeah.
 - 16 To the extent -- let me ask you a different
 - 17 question. This is gonna be kinda about your background
 - 18 and expertise with a political office. The purpose of my
 - 19 questioning, as you've heard so far, I'm kinda asking
 - 20 questions about is this in this person's individual life,
 - 21 is a question involving their campaign organization or
 - 22 that part of their life, or does it involve the actual
 - 23 congressional office?
 - 4 A Then or now?
 - 25 Q Let me ask a different question.
 - Page 16

 Tell me about the part of your business or
 - 2 companies that deal with the campaign office. I wanna get
 - 3 an understanding of your experience with that. Because we
 - 4 talked about the franking, but I get a sense that what you
 - 5 do is more involved for a politician's actual
 - 6 congressional office than just the franking. So tell me
 - 7 what your experience is in that role, about how a
 - 8 congressional office works. Or what your intersection
 - 9 with that is.
 - 10 A In an official capacity from a congressional
 - 11 office, I've been pretty much limited to the franking
 - 12 aspect. And again, franking being the money that
 - 13 government gives a office to communicate with their
 - 14 constituents. With that said, when you're working with a
 - 15 politician, your role is all-encompassing and it often
 - 16 blurs the lines between -- and in often, I mean always
 - 17 blurs the lines between their official capacity, their
 - 18 campaign life, and their personal life. They all flow
 - 19 together, as you can imagine. What happens in an official
 - 20 office impacts a campaign. What happens in their personal
 - 21 life impacts the campaign. My primary job is to get
 - 22 people elected and/or re-elected. Therefore, every action
 - 23 a client takes impacts that. Does that make sense?
 - 24 Q Yeah.
 - 25 A Okay.

Page 17 Page 19 And she would just do that in the workplace No, I do understand. Were there ever any personal aspects to your 2 just unrelated to -- I guess the guestion is that she 3 relationship that affected your professional relationship 3 would just talk about her own personal sex life, thus 4 with Nancy? 4 making it inappropriate because it has no bearing on her 5 congressional life or her job or her campaign? 5 Α Yes. She would do it on -- you know, she would call 6 0 Okav. Tell me about that. 7 me directly. When we were talking, you know, say "Hey, More so than any client I've ever worked with, 8 how you doing?" Then it would turn into a, you know --8 9 Nancy blurred the lines between personal and official. To 9 it's like every conversation would devolve into what's 10 going on in her sex life. Sometimes it would be on the 10 the point that a professional relationship becomes very 11 unprofessional very soon, very quickly. And that the way 11 phone, sometimes it would be over drinks; but it's just 12 that she talks to people because she thinks they're her 12 something that she talked about all the time and I always 13 friends, when she communicates with them at all hours of 13 felt uncomfortable with. 14 the nights and weekends and holidays, yelling at people Did she admit to having sex partners outside of 0 15 all the time, talking about things that are very 15 Patrick Bryant while she's engaged with Patrick Bryant? 16 inappropriate. And in this case, asking me to intervene 17 in her relationship problems with Patrick Bryant. Was that a suspicion that you had based on the Right. 18 18 conversations or when you said she talks about other 19 When do you first recall Nancy asking you to 19 partners --20 intervene with her relationship problems with Patrick No, no, no. I'm sorry; let me clarify. Other 21 Bryant? When about are we talking? 21 partners before Patrick. Q Okay; sorry. I don't remember the date, but I remember one 2.2 23 of the first times was when Patrick Bryant's son got into A I understand how that comment could've made it 24 some legal problems and Nancy was worried that the son's 24 sound like that. I meant partners before Patrick. I 25 legal problems were gonna hurt her reputation. She wanted 25 never got and indication that she was seeing someone else $$\rm Page\ 18$$ 1 Patrick's son -- and I don't remember his name -- out of Page 20 1 while she was with Patrick. 2 their house and to not come around anymore; and she asked 2 Gotcha; gotcha; gotcha; gotcha. 3 me to meet with Patrick, which I did, at a restaurant on All right; so you -- I asked you a question 4 Shem Creek. I can't remember the name of it. But she 4 about asking you to intervene in her relationship with 5 asked me to meet with Patrick, and I did. And, you know, 5 Patrick. The example you gave me the first time was when 6 I talked to Patrick, but I did not do what Nancy asked me 6 she asked you to speak to Patrick about his son. Were 7 to do. 7 there other times when Nancy asked you to intervene in her 8 relationship with Patrick Bryant? Meaning you didn't raise the issue about his 9 son? Meaning I didn't -- when I met with Patrick, he What's the next time that you remember? 10 10 11 was a distraught father. And he was very emotional and I mean, there were multiple times while they 12 upset about his son, and it wasn't -- I quickly realized 12 were still together, including helping them with the 13 it was not the place or time to talk to him about Nancy's 13 breakup. There were other times related to Patrick's son 14 political career when he was worried about his son's life. 14 after that time. But the big one is -- was after they had When you mentioned about Nancy saving things 15 broken up, which is what led to one of the reasons I ended 16 that are inappropriate, is that one of the examples of 16 up letting her go as a client. 17 what you were referring to? Talk to me about that. What is the big one Α 18 that you remember? 18 What are the examples of Nancy saving things Α She asked me to relay some messages to Patrick 19 20 regarding the photos and videos that she found on his 20 that are inappropriate that you were referring to? Nancy -- Nancy talks about her sex life in a 21 phone and other devices.

22

Okay.

Well let me stop for a second and ask you:

24 Before Nancy asked you to intervene with Patrick about

25 photos and videos, had you heard prior to that request

22 way that I've never heard a client or a woman talk about.

23 She -- and this goes beyond Patrick. This is with

24 multiple partners. She loves talking about sex.

Okay.

Deposition of Wesley Donehue 6(21 - 24)Page 23 1 about Nancy finding photos and videos on Patrick's phone? 1 these questions. 2 Yes So when you met with her at Shiki, do you know, I guess let's start there. 3 was that personal, was it related to campaign, was it What did she tell you? 4 related to official or --She called me one day, asked me to meet her for It definitely wasn't official. I mean, again, 5 6 lunch, which I did, at Shiki, which is a sushi restaurant 6 it's that blurred line between campaign and personal. 7 on East Bay Street right next door to my office. And she 7 Trying to be her friend and trying to be her campaign 8 showed me some photos and told me that she found a number 8 advisor at the same time, which is not an abnormal 9 of photos and videos that Patrick had taken of women 9 situation for me to be in. 10 without their consent or knowledge. O Well let me clarify that real quick. Do you recall what the videos she showed you To the extent we talk about a lot of things 12 depicted? 12 with blurred lines dealing with Nancy, for you, that would I don't think she showed me any videos that 13 primarily be a blurred line between you in your capacity Α 14 day; she showed me photos. One was of a girl on a couch 14 working with her campaign or being basically a campaign 15 -- as she says -- passed out with a blanket over her. She 15 manager and Nancy's personal life as opposed to her 16 showed me a picture of -- a picture 16 congressional office; is that fair? skirt taken by (inaudible). Yeah; that's fair. 17 seemingly up 17 Α 18 When I say skirt, I mean one of those coverups that women Ω And because your role with the campaign was 19 wear over bikinis. 19 somewhat limited to the franking, that's why I asked that 2.0 Yep. 20 question. A Yes. Yeah; but again, in my capacity, I don't Α And a picture of her that was more blurry. I 22 look at -- I understand that there is a legal separation 22 couldn't really see Nancy naked, but a picture of Nancy 23 that she said she was getting up to go to the bathroom and 23 between them; but when you're helping a candidate, you're 24 that Patrick took pictures of her; and then there was a 24 helping that person in all of their capacities. So it's 25 few other women in similar situations that Nancy told me 25 normal to blur the lines between personal and campaign; 1 Patrick took without these women knowing about it. 1 it's just not normal for a personal relationship to become 2 O Okay. 2 so hostile. O Yeah. What was her purpose in meeting with you to 4 show you those pictures at Shiki on this date? When you get paid by Nancy's -- or your company And let me just go back. 5 gets paid by Nancy's company, how does that compensation 6 structure work? 6 Do you remember roughly when the lunch meeting 7 at Shiki would've been? A We get paid hourly -- no, I'm sorry; let me I remember roughly, because I remember the 8 back up. 9 circumstances surrounding it, which was Patrick was We get paid a retainer that's a flat fee; but 10 celebrating his 50th birthday in the Caribbean. And it 10 we also make money off of advertising fees, as well as 11 was about three to four days before Nancy was leaving to 11 fundraising fees whenever we raise money for her. 12 meet him for his birthday. Because that was a large part Q So there's no like hourly billing where you 13 of the conversation. 13 could go back and find this meeting at Shiki on an hourly 14 O Okav. 14 billing sheet of some sort; right? I believe that was in, you know, like late A We just can't do that because we get calls, 15 16 November of 2023, but I don't know when Patrick's birthday 16 texts. There's just no way. I mean, our staff does keep 17 is. But if you look it up, it would be basically a few 17 track of hours, but not the consultant level. The 18 days before his birthday in 2023. 18 designers, videographers, those kind of people track their O Okav; thank you. 19 hours. But those of us that are consultants, we don't

24 meeting with her. And if you need to give me a similar 25 answer, just understood I heard you but I need to ask

13

2.1

19

So was she -- you know, listen. I want you to

21 know that I heard your answer about the blurring of lines

22 between capacities, and that's probably not gonna change

23 the fact that I'm gonna ask you in what capacity were you

20 have the capacity to do that.

MR. BREWER: That sounds good, doesn't

22 it, Doug?

THE WITNESS: You guys have it good;

24 trust me.

25 ///

Let me stop and do things for a second. I just

Page 25 1 BY MR. BREWER: 1 answer your question. I know they co-owned two houses Q All right. 2 together, one on Capitol Hill and one on Isle of Palms. All right; so Nancy shows you these videos and 4 photos that we just discussed, and I'm gonna break 'em 4 wanna show a couple of records, just kinda get caught up 5 down. The picture of the girl with the blanket on her, 5 on exhibits, because I didn't show you B, and C is up skirt, the picture of her that was 6 relevant to this. So let me share my screen real quick, 7 blurry, and then several other women in similar situations 7 because I have a question I wanted to ask you briefly 8 is how you described it. 8 about Exhibit B. Exhibit B is only a short detour in the 9 Α Yep. Ω What did she have to say about those photos? 10 11 What was she telling you about 'em? That Patrick was doing some shady things and 13 that she was upset and felt for her safety and was 14 planning on breaking up with him. 14 Okay. 15 Also -- forget it; go ahead. I'm sorry. 16 Go ahead. 17 0 18 This conversation at Shiki was -- there was 19 much more to it than that, I guess is what I'm saying. 2.0 Okay. What was more to the meeting at Shiki than just 21 22 the photos that you recall? I'm just trying to figure out the best way to 23 24 word this. 25 Nancy said she felt for her safety and that she $$\operatorname{\textsc{Page}}\xspace 26$ 1 believed that Patrick was taking pictures of women without 2 their knowledge. 0 She then said she was going to the Caribbean 5 with Patrick and some of his friends, which I questioned; 6 because I said "Nancy, you can't say you fear for your 7 safety and you're going to the Caribbean with him and some 8 of his friends." Then she said -- I remember as clear as 9 day -- "I'm taking my free vacation to the Caribbean." 10 And she said while she was there she was gonna try to get 11 more information out of his phone; and I told her that she 12 needs to contact the authorities, and she asked me why. 13 And I said "Because, Nancy" -- I remember it as clear as 14 day. I said "Nancy, as your campaign consultant, if it 15 ever comes out that you knew of women being harmed and you 16 didn't do anything about it, your career is over. But 17 also, it's just the right thing to do." Uh-huh (counsel indicated an affirmative Q 18 19 response).

And she said "I'm going to use this information

Let's talk about that for a minute. What do

During the breakup -- what do I know? Let me

21 to get my houses."

O Okay.

24 you know about quote Nancy's houses?

22

9 extent that I'm talking about Nancy's campaign. Uh-huh (witness indicated an affirmative 11 response). 0 I got this off the Federal Election Commission 13 website. Okay. Α Q And this shows -- is the formal name of Nancy's 16 campaign Nancy Mace For Congress? Does that seem 17 accurate? Α Seems accurate; yeah. To the extent that I know she has an interest 20 in running for governor -- and I don't know if you know 21 this -- is her campaign for governor still this Nancy Mace 22 For Congress entity or is there a separate one or do you 23 not know the answer? A I do not know the answer; but she would not 25 have filed for governor yet, so such an entity probably Page 28 1 does not exist. I don't know that for a fact; but putting 2 my campaign hat on -- the official answer I know I'm 3 supposed to give is I don't know, but I'm also telling you 4 she wouldn't of. Nobody's filed for governor yet. Q Do you know who Paul Kilgore is? This guy 6 who's listed right here? A No. sir. Is he, to your knowledge, in any way involved 9 with the campaign? You don't know who he is, but have you 10 ever heard of him? A I have no idea who that is. O All right. Let's do this again. I'm now gonna show you a 14 new document, which is Exhibit C. I'm gonna pull that up. 15 I'm gonna share the screen again. Can you guys see 16 Exhibit C now, the complaint? A Yes, sir. This was a lawsuit that Nancy Mace brought 19 against Patrick Bryant on April 2nd, 2024. And this 20 involves a dispute of a real property. I'm looking at 21 paragraph 3 on the second page of Exhibit C. And it says 22 "The real property herein, which is the subject of this 23 action, is at Palm Island Boulevard." Do you know if 24 that's that the house -- the local house that Nancy and 25 Patrick share together or do you have any knowledge of

1 that address? I don't recall the specific address, but that 3 sounds right. I have been to that house before, so that 0 Then I don't see the D.C. house listed. 5 All right; so let me ask this: To the extent 7 that Nancy's conversation with you in November 2023 turned 8 to her telling you that she was gonna use the pictures she 9 got off Patrick's phone to get her houses, is it your 10 understanding that that became a solely personal goal or 11 objective of Nancy Mace at that time, as opposed to a goal 12 or object that would be associated with her campaign or 13 her congressional office? 14 Α Yes. 0 What did you understand or how did you 16 understand that Nancy wanted to use the information about 17 Patrick's -- or excuse me. The information about 18 photographs that she found on Patrick's phone -- let me 19 rephrase. 2.0 What was your understanding for how Nancy 21 intended to use the information about photos she found on 22 Patrick's phone in relation to quote getting her houses? A Based on follow-up conversations, numerous 24 follow-up conversations, I understood that Nancy was going 25 to use all the information that she found as leverage to 1 gain 100 percent ownership of the Isle of Palms and 2 Washington, D.C. home. Q Did Nancy ever task you with communicating with 4 Patrick Bryant about her possession of these alleged 5 photographs in asking you to essentially help her 6 blackmail Patrick into giving over 100 percent ownership 7 of the D.C. and South Carolina homes? Yes Q And would you agree with me that when she did 10 that, that was also in her personal interest as opposed to 11 the interest of her campaign or congressional office? 12 Yes Do you remember, did she specifically say to 14 you "Please meet with Patrick and show him these images 15 and tell him I'll make them public if he doesn't give me 16 both houses"? Did she say that subsequent to the Shiki Q 18 19 meeting?

Yes. Did you do as she asked? No. I did meet with Patrick. I did not say 23 that to him. O Right. She asked you to meet with Patrick and to tell

20

22

24

25

Α

0

Page 31 1 him "If you don't give me the houses" -- or excuse me 2 "If you don't give me the full interest of the houses. 3 I'll make these pictures public." But you refused to do A Yes. I met with Patrick; we had drinks on the 6 outside deck of his office in Mount Pleasant. And we did 7 discuss Nancy, but we also discussed other things. Did you communicate -- this is gonna sound 9 convoluted, so I'm gonna try to ask this. Please forgive 10 me if I have to reask it once it comes out of my mouth. I understand that Nancy asked you to convey --12 well let's go back. Would you agree with me that Nancy's request to 14 you to tell Patrick "If he doesn't give me the interest in 15 both houses, I'll make these pictures public," that was 16 effectively her effort to extort or blackmail Patrick; is 17 that correct? Α Yeah. And that was on March 27th, 2024. How can you relate it to that date? Because I just remember -- I'm sitting here in 21 front of my computer, and I just punched in Patrick Bryant 22 on my scheduling. And the meeting with him on Shem Creek 23 about his son was May 30th, 2023, and the meeting at his 24 office was March 27th, 2024. Okay. Give me one quick second here. 1 Apologize. My wife keeps trying to get in touch with mo Any time I tried to meet with Patrick was 3 always scheduled. I just remembered that all I have to do

4 is punch his name in and I can get those dates. Q So this is the question I was trying to ask 6 before: When you met with Patrick, even though Nancy 7 asked you to convey her message of blackmail and 8 extortion, I'm clearly under the impression you did not 9 try to be her fixer in that capacity, but did you convey 10 to Patrick that she had asked you to do that and you had 11 refused? Α No. I didn't bring it up at all. Okay.

You can see why that's like somewhat of a 15 distinction. 16 Α I do. 0 "Hey, I'm not leveraging you, but let me tell 18 you, Nancy asked me to leverage you."

In my career as a fixer, as you say, I'm often 20 asked to intervene in situations; and I'm always very 21 clear not to cross any legal boundaries. And in my eyes,

22 that was blurring some legal lines that I didn't want to 23 be part of. I met with Patrick, we discussed the breakup.

24 He kept talking about how he was still in love with her. 25 I remember that as clear as day. He did ask me if I knew

1 where she was living, and I said "I don't know." And then 2 we started talking -- he asked how she was doing and was 3 concerned about her. I remember that. And then we were 4 mostly just sitting out on the deck talking about 5 business. When you met with Patrick, did it -- go ahead. 0 No; that's okay. When you met with Patrick at this time, March 9 27th of 2024, I'm assuming from your testimony so far that 10 it seemed to you that Patrick was legitimately concerned 11 about Nancy, whereas Nancy was not legitimately concerned 12 for the well-being of Patrick. As -- yes; I guess that would be a good 13 14 summary. But I mean, as much as I can tell if someone's 15 concerned about someone else. I mean, he was asking about 16 her; and she had a very different tone, I guess is the 17 best way to say it. Well -- and I guess a better way for me to ask 18 19 that question is: March 27th, 2024, was Patrick 20 expressing in any way ill will towards Nancy or the intent 21 to harm her or to in any way cause damage, discomfort, or 22 negativity towards her, I guess? A Not at all. But -- I know, Doug, I'm not 24 supposed to -- I wanna be very clear here so we can have 25 some -- because it's not a question as -- Nancy was an 1 emotional wreck at this time. She took the breakup 2 extremely hard. And I believe that Nancy believed the 3 things that she was saying. Ω Okay. If they were true or not; and I don't know if 6 they were true or not. And she believed it. She was 7 losing a lot of weight, she was getting what she called 8 stress tattoos. She was -- just because she wasn't saying 9 things like "How is Patrick?", she was emotionally 10 distraught at this point in the year. And in my view, her 11 emotional stability was crumbling. So I don't wanna make 12 it seem like she was just this machine that didn't care 13 about anything. She very much cared. But it was coming 14 across as erratic and unstable. Does that make sense? I 15 wanna -- don't get mad at me, Doug. I'm kidding. But she 16 did care, and that's the only way I can say that. That 17 make sense? 0 18 Yes. MR. BREWER: Madam court reporter, let's 19 20 go off the record for a second. (Discussion held off the record.) 21 22 BY MR. BREWER: So Wes, you were telling me a minute ago that 23

24 this line of interaction with Nancy where she asked you to

25 go and essentially blackmail Patrick for her own personal

```
2 to your dissociating from her campaign; and then you went
 3 into some other stories about how she was emotionally
 4 unstable or mentally unstable, whichever phrase you used.
5 So let me pick up there.
              How did this series of communications starting
7 with "I found things on Patrick's phone" lead to talking
8 about houses, her seeming to be unstable, how did all that
9 relate to your comments about what led to be dissociating
10 from the campaign?
         A I would say I left the campaign for three
12 primary reasons. The first is that -- I'll say four
13 things. The first being that I felt uncomfortable with
14 the things that Nancy was asking me to do, and I didn't
15 want to get in any legal trouble. That was the first
16 thing. The second thing is, which is the reason I'm being
17 subpoenaed and wouldn't provide a statement to you guys,
18 is I didn't wanna get sucked into this any further because
19 I saw what was coming. I saw that devolving into
20 something pretty bad. And being that I was Patrick's
21 friend, Nancy's friend, I saw that I was gonna get caught
22 in the middle; and I didn't want to be there, because I
23 have a business and family, too, and this was gonna get
24 ugly. The third reason is because of my own -- to be
25 honest with you, my own anxiety and stress levels. Her
Page 36 1 erratic behavior was causing problems in my own personal
2 life in that the constant phone calls, the constant
3 yelling, the erratic behavior was just too much. And the
 4 fourth reason is she started tweeting and doing things on
 5 the internet that I thought was unprofessional and people
 6 were blaming me because I was her digital guy, and I
7 didn't want to be associated with what I found to be a
8 level of unprofessionalism on the internet.
             So I cut ties with her.
10
11
              Okay.
              The first thing you mentioned that I wanna
13 kinda go down the road on is she was asking you to do
14 things that made you feel uncomfortable. We've discussed
15 her sharing the photos on Patrick's phone with you and
16 asking you to go blackmail him over the properties. Were
17 there other things she was asking you to do that made you
18 feel uncomfortable?
             No; I mean, it was primarily that. And you
20 used the word "blackmail." That was actually a word I was
21 using, too, internally when I was talking to people in my
22 company or talking to my wife and literally said, you
23 know, "Like she's asking me to blackmail someone." You
24 know, asking me do something that I thought was illegal.
25 And I'm no -- I'm no jurist. I have the -- I don't know
```

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{Page}}$$ 35 1 objectives regarding the house, that that ultimately led

Deposition of Wesley Donehue Page 39 1 if it was legal or not. But just by googling it, that's I don't know how to answer that. 2 what it looked like to me, so it had me extremely worried. Ω I'll come back to that. 3 And then when I wouldn't do it, you know, I would get Okay. 4 yelled at. And the other thing I was concerned about was I'll come back to that question, because I 5 I didn't know whether or not what Nancy was accusing 5 probably could've asked it better, to be honest. 6 Patrick of happened or not. And outside of the blackmail I know what you're trying to ask. And it 7 issue, there may be or -- I don't know. I don't know the 7 wasn't that your question was worded wrong, it's just that 8 facts. And I still don't to this day. But there could be 8 I don't know the answer to it. Because it's my belief 9 victims here that Nancy wasn't alerting law enforcement 9 that every single thing Nancy does -- every action, every 10 and, instead, using victims for her own personal gain. 10 single action -- is all for personal gain. So I've never 11 And that was an ethical issue that I couldn't overcome. I 11 -- so I don't know how to answer that question. I just 12 never saw any proof of anything. I saw pictures. In 12 have certain beliefs about Nancy that kinda cloud -- you 13 know, I'd rather just stick to the facts that I know, 13 those pictures, there was no proof of some of the things 14 that she was alleging. However, I didn't see everything. 14 because my professional opinion of her is beyond the 15 So I was deeply concerned that there was no additional 15 facts. 16 legal measures being taken if she truly believed in what Well in some ways, I may ask you to draw some 17 conclusions based on facts, but I'm not gonna ask you to 17 she was saying. 18 speculate about anything. I'll get back into that in a 18 Let me expound on that for a minute. 19 One, do you have -- Nancy, I know, has said 19 minute. 20 publicly recently that she has gone to authorities, has Let me go a different direction for a minute. 21 gone to Alan Wilson, SLED, whatever it is she says. Do 21 Let me get back to the pictures and videos real quick. 22 you have any knowledge or indication when -- if, in fact, Did Nancy ever discuss with you in detail how 23 she ever did what you thought was right and went to 23 she got the photos off Patrick's phone? 24 authorities, do you have any knowledge when she ever did Α She did, yeah. 24 25 that, if at all? 25 0 Tell me -- let me ask this before I ask you The only thing I know about is that shortly 1 what she did. 2 after she came back from the Caribbean with Patrick and 2 Did she ever use the term "hacking" or "I 3 hacked into Patrick's phone"? 3 some others, she told me she contacted Capitol Police, but 4 that was only for her own safety. As far as I know, Α Yes. 5 before I left, she had not contacted any law enforcement Q Tell me what you remember her saying 6 regarding the other potential victims. 6 specifically. Do you remember when you left? Α Well for background, you need to know that 8 Nancy Mace is quite the -- when I use the word "nerd" or It was shortly after the primary when she beat 9 Catherine Templeton, so that would've been in June of last 9 "geek," it's always favorable, but a computer nerd or a 10 year. Probably July or early August. I can go back and 10 computer geek. She programs her owns bots, she sets up 11 Twitter burner accounts. This is kind of a thing she 11 pull that one. We would have a record of that and the 12 contract. While we're talking, I'll just text someone and 12 does. She sits all night on the couch and programs bots, 13 find out. 13 because she's very, very computer savvy. She controls her

Obviously, some of what you said is that using 14 15 information and pictures she got off Patrick's phone, if

16 that's blackmailing, that's certainly not part of her

17 official congressional responsibilities or her campaign

18 responsibilities; is that fair?

Yes. 19

0 And I also understand you to say that because 20

21 Nancy seemed to be more concerned with how she could use

22 that information for her personal gain rather than trying

23 to ensure safety or rights of victims, that there's some

24 sense that her current declarations that she is for

25 victims is somewhat of a pretext.

Page 40 14 own voter database, she programs a lot of her own website, 15 she programs Facebook bots and Instagram bots and Twitter 16 bots. It's what she does for fun. And yeah, she told me 17 that she found stuff on his phone and then was able to 18 hack into -- as we're talking, it's jogging my memory. 19 This all started because she found that he was -- he had a 20 dating app. And -- had an account on a dating app. And 21 because she found that, she started thinking that he was 22 cheating on her, so she got into his phone. And then when 23 she got back from the Caribbean, she hacked into other 24 apps -- and I don't know what those other apps are -- and 25 seemingly, found hundreds of photos and videos.

When she used the term "hacked" with you, was 1 she was telling you at that time consistent with the story 2 she clearly expressing to you that she gained access to 2 that she has been giving in her congressional floor 3 his own phone without authorization or credentials? 3 speech? Yeah. I would be careful on the word "hack." 5 because people use the word "hack" in different ways. It 0 So start there. 6 doesn't mean that they're a computer hacker. It could What was the distinction between what she was 7 just mean she found his user name and password and was 7 telling you in November '23 versus the story she's telling 8 able to access it. I wouldn't label it as hacking, but I 8 in the congressional floor speech? 9 do remember she used the word "hack" and I do remember The only allocation was -- accusation, sorry, 10 that somehow she was able to access his phone and other 10 was that Patrick was in possession of pictures of naked 11 women taken without their consent and without them knowing 11 items. 12 he still had them. There was never any discussion of rape 12 Did she give you any indication at that time 13 that Patrick Bryant had voluntarily given her his 13 or sex trafficking or anything beyond the fact that he had 14 passwords or otherwise given her voluntary access to his 14 pictures of naked women taken without their consent. 15 phone or devices? Did Nancy, even when she showed you -- did In fact, just the opposite. She got really 16 Nancy ever report to you any story where she alleged she 17 upset once because he hid his phone -- not hid. He locked 17 was somehow a rape or sexual assault victim? Not through Patrick. Only through her previous 18 his phone away in a safe and, you know, she got into a big Α 19 fight about why would he lock it in a safe if there was 19 rape, which I think happened at The Citadel. Yeah; but no 20 nothing to hide. 20 new rape. Q And did Nancy -- I guess I'm still going back 21 All right. 22 to November '23, or up to that time, had she in any way So let's go to -- give me one guick second. 2.2 I asked you a question earlier where I asked 23 ever expressed to you that she thought she had been 24 you if the photos that Nancy did show you -- I guess at 24 roofied or drugged in any way? 25 Shiki and maybe at other times -- that she got from А $$\operatorname{\textsc{Page}}$\ 42$$ l Patrick Bryant's phone, if she demonstrated or showed what And did she ever express to you that Patrick 2 she was alleging those photos showed. So let me -- I'm 2 Bryant physically assaulted her or abused her in some way, 3 even if nonsexually? 3 prefacing what I'm gonna ask you about based on what you 4 said there. You said that Nancy said "Hey, Patrick's Α Yes. 5 taking videos of women or pictures of women without their Q Okay. 6 consent, blah, blah. " I guess I'm trying to figure Tell me about that. 7 out what was the story she was telling you that she was She had a number of bruises on her arm. I 8 would call them like -- what would you call the end of 8 proposing those pictures showed and what did you not 9 believe synced up about the photos that you saw and the 9 your fingers? Fingertips. I would call them 10 story she was trying to tell? 10 fingertip-size bruises on her forearm, about four of If by -- by "the story she's trying to tell," 11 which -- above her elbow, little bruises on her arm about 12 do you mean her congressional testimony, her congressional 12 right here, and said that he grabbed her. 13 tirade? Okay. Let's go back to that. I'm gonna separate All right; let me ask a different question real 14 15 those two. That's a excellent question you asked. Let me 15 guick. 16 ask a different question to help with that and baby-step 16 Remember I was asking you about the photographs 17 that. 17 that Nancy showed you, and then you indicated that her Nancy told a story in her congressional speech 18 complaint was they were photographs of women without their 18 19 about her version of the history of all this. You, in 19 consent. Did any of the photographs Nancy showed you 20 some way, lived a portion of it. 20 support in any way that women who were being photographed Yeah 21 were in the process of being sexually assaulted or raped So when Nancy first spoke to you about what she 22 while being photographed? 22 23 found in November of -- was it November of 2023? 24 Α Yeah. Did any of the pictures that you saw in any way

25 depict or support that narrative, that these were women

November of 2023 at Shiki, is the story that

25

Page 45 Page 47 1 who were being raped, about to be raped, in the process of 1 bring up Eric a good bit. She didn't like Eric at all 2 being raped, or anything like that? Okav And what would she -- like to Eric Bowman, what No. Did Nancy mention anything at that time --4 would she say about him? 5 still going back to this November '23 when she showed you Well just that he was a sleazeball and a bad 6 this pictures -- about John Osborne or Eric Bowman or 6 influence on Patrick and that, you know, all Patrick's bad 7 Brian Musgrave? 7 faults come from Eric. You know, after that Shiki She discussed Eric Bowman a number of times. 8 incident -- I remember he was going to the Caribbean with 9 Especially as it relates to the picture of a passed-out 9 Eric and with Zucker, Jonathan Zucker. She was going to 10 girl on a couch, which I did see, with a blanket over her. 10 the Caribbean and Eric was gonna be there, Jonathan 11 Zucker; they had a boat. And I simply asked her "If you Q 12 That this had occurred at Eric Bowman's house. 12 think Patrick's dangerous, you think Eric's dangerous, why So in that picture, by the way -- just so I can 13 are you going to the Caribbean with them?" Like she never 13 14 be clear -- it's literally just a picture of a girl on a 14 once talked about Eric. 15 couch with a blanket on her? Did she ever show you anything that would 16 16 support Eric Bowman committing some type of sexual assault Can you tell from the photo, is the girl 17 or rape or anything like that against a woman? 17 18 clothed, nude, or can you tell? No. 19 She said she was nude. And I remember thinking Did she ever show you any -- well did she ever 20 like I can't see that. 20 discuss with you the allegation that Patrick Bryant and 21 Eric Bowman broke into a woman's residence, house, or 21 0 Okav. 22 apartment, and took turns filming her while the other one 2.2 Are there any other men in the photograph? A No. Let me go back. I don't recall. I don't 23 raped her? 24 think so. I remember her saying it was at Eric Bowman's A No. Let me just state clearly -- and I know 25 house, but I don't remember Eric Bowman being in the 25 you're gonna ask these all individually, and that's 1 picture, nor do I remember Patrick, but that's not to say 1 fine -- she never once took the leap from he's taking 2 they weren't. I just don't remember that. 2 creepy pictures of women without their consent to any kind Q Let me state it a different way. 3 of violence or rape. You didn't see any men in the picture engaged O Okay. 5 in any sexual acts. A Ever. Patrick, Eric, or anyone else, ever. 6 Α Definitely not. No. Maybe this is a good way to ask that guestion: 0 Okav. 7 When is the first time that you ever heard the allegation 8 that Patrick Bryant or Eric Bowman were somehow engaged in I had never heard Brian Musgrave's name until 9 her speech in Congress. He was never mentioned before. 9 sexually assaulting or raping or sexually being violent to 10 John Osborne, I don't think she -- actually, I'm having a 10 women? 11 hard time remembering. Because SLED contacted me and 11 In her congressional floor speech. 12 questioned me. And I remember them telling me that the While you were still meeting with Nancy and 13 girl was John Osborne's girlfriend, but I don't remember 13 working with her, did she mention anything about Airbnbs 14 Nancy saying that that was John Osborne's girlfriend. I'm 14 and Patrick Bryant? 15 a little cloudy there because -- yeah; I don't remember if A Only that they were Airbnb'ing the D.C. place 16 she did or if SLED did, but I don't remember -- so I've 16 and the IOP place. I mean, I rented the D.C. place from 17 known John for a long time. I've known John as long as 17 'em I think twice on Airbnb. Like only those discussions. 18 I've known Patrick. I don't recall any accusations about 0 Did she ever reference video cameras at any 19 John or Brian ever. It was always about Patrick and Eric. 19 Airbnbs associated with Patrick Bryant? To the extent that she was talking about Eric, No. 21 was she talking about Eric in regards to any of the other Did Nancy talk to you about her relationship at all? 22 photos or just the one with the girl covered up by the 22 with 23 blanket? Δ 23

And by the way, your previous question about

25 when did we quit working with Nancy, we notified her on

Α

She talked about Eric a lot. Not only as it

25 relates to the photos, but, you know, she -- she would

Page 49

1 August 8th that we were --

- 2 Q Okay; gotcha.
- 3 A Yeah.
- 4 Q Thank you.
- 5 So did Nancy ever show you any videos that she
- 6 allegedly hacked or obtained off Bryant's phone without
- 7 permission?
- 8 A No; just some photos.
- 9 O Did you ever have knowledge of Nancy working
- 10 with any authorities about the photos or videos she
- 11 obtained off Patrick's phone? SLED? Any other law
- 12 enforcement agency.
- 13 A No. In fact, just the opposite. I think she
- 14 was not talking to them about it. She told me she had
- 15 talked to Capitol Police.
- 16 Q That's the last you ever heard about that.
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q So if I understand that correctly, from the
- 19 time you first heard about the photos or videos in
- 20 November of '23 to when you terminated your campaign
- 21 relationship on August 8th of 2024, the only thing you
- 22 ever heard from Nancy Mace regarding her going to law
- 23 enforcement regarding Patrick Bryant, Eric Bowman, photos
- 24 and videos that she got off Patrick's phone, that would've
- 25 only been relating to her going to Capitol Police to try
- 1 to arrange for some kind of security for herself?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And what was the security she was seeking for
- 4 herself from Capitol Police?
- 5 A I don't recall. Just security. I mean, she
- 6 was afraid for her safety.
- 7 Q Was she insinuating or alleging that Patrick
- 8 might come see her in D.C.?
- 9 A If I remember specifically, she would tell me
- 10 "Don't ever tell Patrick where I'm staying." So I think
- 11 she was fearful of retribution, or at least, you know,
- 12 acting like she was fearful of it.
- 13 Q Did you have experiences with Nancy -- I wanna
- 14 be careful how I'm gonna ask you this question because I
- 15 wanna give you the leeway to answer it as you see fit;
- 16 right? But did you ever have experiences with Nancy where
- 17 she would tell you things that the facts and records that
- 18 you were experiencing did not support?
- 19 I see your face. Let me scratch that and ask
- 20 something else.
- 21 A Nancy's a chronic liar.
- 22 Q That's what I was gonna ask.
- 23 Did Nancy make up facts?
- 24 A All the time. Her car was keyed, her tires
- 25 slashed, someone vandalizing her house. She likes to take

- 1 kernels of truth and embellish them.
- 2 0 Would she acknowledge that she would take
- 3 kernels of truth and embellish them? Like was she aware
- 4 that she was doing it or do you think it was more like a
- 5 compulsive-liar type thing where she doesn't know she's
- 6 doing it?
- 7 A I believe -- look, I can't say for truth. I
- 8 can only tell you what I believe, and I believe that Nancy
- 9 Mace has a hard time telling what's reality and what's
- 10 not. I believe that Nancy Mace is a chronic liar who
- 11 constantly plays the victim card for her own benefit, and
- 12 I would catch her in lies all the time.
- 13 Q And if you caught her in lies, would you
- 14 confront her about those lies?
- 15 A No; because she sees black helicopters
- 16 everywhere. Everybody's out to get her. Her campaign
- 17 managers. You know, she -- she -- she'd just talk bad
- 18 about everybody, hates everybody, everybody's out to get
- 19 her. She's -- she's just not stable. I don't know how
- 20 else to say it.
- Q Has that been the case throughout your dealings
- 22 with her from the very beginning of her campaign or did
- 23 she increasingly become unstable over time or how -- or
- 24 tell me about that.
- 25 A I mean, it went from -- no, she was always a
- Page 50

 Page 52

 1 little bit like that. She would hand me polling with like
 - 2 parts of the polls blacked out, like a CIA top-secret
 - 3 document you see on movies; right? So she's always had
 - 4 that kinda like everybody's-out-to-get-me-black-helicopter
 - 5 mentality, but it got progressively worse. Like her last
 - 6 campaign manager, she would accuse of stealing data. The
 - 7 previous one before that, often she was accusing of
 - 8 stealing stuff. And over the years, she devolved. And it
 - 9 got really bad during the primary against Catherine where,
 - 10 you know, she would call and say "Well I just got another
 - 11 stress tattoo, " and then she was also crying.
 - 12 Q I've heard you mention a stress tattoo. What
 - 13 is a stress tattoo? I've never heard that term.
 - 14 A Well, it's just a tattoo. She would say it
 - 15 helps her with her stress. I never heard that term,
 - 16 either. That's what she called 'em.
 - 17 Q Huh.
 - 18 Do you know what she would get stress tattoos
 - 19 of?
 - 20 A No. I think words.
 - 21 O Gotcha. Like?
 - 22 A I don't know. I always found it to be weird,
 - 23 so I didn't ask a lot of questions. But she was -- and I
 - 24 wanna be careful the way I say this, because I don't think
 - 25 a lot of this was premeditated, nor do think a lot of it

Page 55 1 was like on purpose. I think she believed Patrick was 1 spoken, e-mailed, or published to anyone that Patrick 2 cheating on her. I believe that she believed that he had 2 Bryant and Eric Bowman were sexually assaulting women or 3 a bunch of creepy pictures, and I believe that that pushed 3 were breaking in to their apartment to film the sexual 4 her over the edge to start making very emotional and 4 assaults of women, that was in no way related to her 5 erratic decisions; and I believe that it started impacting 5 campaign or her congressional office as of August 2024 to 6 her health, such as not eating, drinking too much, and 6 your knowledge. 7 losing a ton of weight. And I think that she has Α 8 consistently devolved emotionally since then. And --To go through the names you just gave me, Lorie 9 which was one of the reasons I terminated my relationship 9 Khatod was her chief of staff? 10 with her, because it started getting too bad. 10 Ά 0 Gotcha. 11 Q Is that still her chief of staff, or has that 11 12 So this is another question I had. 12 changed? I've heard that they parted ways. Do you remember if you are familiar with 13 13 14 Nancy's floor speech from January of 2025? Do you know when Lorie parted ways with her? 14 0 Yeah. 15 15 She rehearsed a series of political bills that 16 Do you have contact information for Lorie 17 she was planning to introduce into Congress or had 17 Khatod? 18 introduced into Congress. Do you remember that part of I don't think I do. I think I got a phone 1 2 19 her speech where she referenced those? 19 number. Yes; her e-mail address looks like it was a Nancy 20 Mace e-mail address, but I do have her phone number if And I have marked that as an exhibit. We can 21 it's still the same. And that phone number is 21 22 pull it up if we need to, but I wanna ask a generalized 23 question without getting into weeds. Q 23 I'm assuming, based on what you do, if Nancy, A 25 as part of her congressional function, was introducing 25 Are you aware, did Nancy ever speak with Lorie Page 56 1 bills that she wanted to mention in a floor speech, wha 1 about Patrick Bryant and Eric Bowman related to the issu 2 she would've done is made both her congressional office 2 we're hearing in her floor speech now? 3 and such campaign aware of it so that you guys could A I do not recall. 4 contribute with the digital strategy of marketing these Was Doug Stafford, was that her -- what was his 5 plans, marketing it to her constituents as "Look what a 5 position again? 6 good job I'm doing." Is that fair? He was the general consultant. We had a weekly call every week with her chief 7 General consultant. 8 of staff, Lorie Khatod -- L-o-r-i-e, K-h-a-t-o-d -- called Do you know, is Doug Stafford still with her 9 the pineapple call. Never understood that one. It was 10 always on the calendar "weekly pineapple call." But -- I I do not know, but I assume so. 10 11 don't know what "pineapple" was code for; but on the And what about John Mason Long? What was his 11 12 weekly pineapple call with her chief of staff, her 12 position again? 13 consultant, Doug Stafford; her campaign manager, John He was the campaign manager. 14 Mason Long; and Nancy, and we would discuss everything Is he still with her? 14 15 going on with the official office and everything going on 15 16 with the campaign so that both could be coordinated the 16 Do you have contact information for John Mason 17 way it needed to be coordinated. 17 Long? As of August 2024, had you ever understood or 18 I do. In fact, I just saw him on Friday. His 19 heard any reference to Patrick Bryant, Eric Bowman, sexual 19 phone number is 20 assault, assault against women, rape, in any way being 20 21 part of her campaign strategy at that time? Do you know, did Nancy discuss Patrick Bryant 22 and any of these allegations that we've been discussing 22 23 with Doug Stafford in any way? 0 If Nancy Mace was -- so now let me ask a 24 slightly different question, but you'll understand it. I do not recall, but it would be shocking to me

25 if she did not.

If prior to August of 2024, Nancy Mace had

25

Deposition of Wesley Donehue Okay. What about Dan Hamlin? Do you know if Nancy 3 Mace discussed Patrick Bryant and Eric Bowman and these 4 allegations with Dan Hamlin? I believe -- you would have to go check the 6 dates, but I believe Dan was already gone by the time this 7 all came up. 0 Okav. 8 of --I don't remember when Dan left and Lorie came 10 on. But if my memory's correct, Dan was already gone. Q Okay. 12 But I could be wrong there. Did any other consultants or campaign staff or 13 14 political professional express any concern to you about Α 15 Nancy's behavior or stability before you left the Q 16 campaign? A Oh, yeah. John Mason Long, she thought -- they 17 18 had it out. Doug Stafford and I talked about it all the 19 time. Everybody in my office that she had to work with. 20 Generally, what were the conversations coming 21 from other people? What were they saying? That she is losing her mind, that she's 23 erratic. That what was already a little erratic has gone 24 off -- you know, gone off a cliff. I mean, there was even 25 a lot of conversation about us being concerned for her 1 safety. Like I was concerned about it. I mean, I was 2 very concerned about it. To this day, I'm still concerned 2 0 3 about it. 3 Α When you say "concerned for her safety," you 0 5 mean within terms of like self-harm or something like 6 that? 7 Α 100 percent; yeah. 0 Are you aware of anyone else that Nancy Mace 9 privately communicated with about her allegations of 10 criminal conduct and Patrick Bryant? Her sister, her 11 mother, other members of Congress? 12 A Not that I'm aware of. Nancy doesn't have a 13 lot of friends. She's not close with a lot of people. 14 Right. Has Nancy ever discussed -- let's do this. Let 15 16 me take a break for a minute. 17 (Discussion held off the record.) 18 BY MR. BREWER: Wes, do you recall -- I showed you a lawsuit 19 20 earlier where Nancy Mace sued Patrick Bryant over real

21 estate. Do you remember her attending a mediation in May

24 don't remember a mediation. I remember her talking to

25 numerous lawyers about it because she kept talking about

I don't remember -- that's a good guestion. I

22 of 2024 related to that suit?

Page 59 1 how she wanted to use these pictures to get the houses and 2 that she was going through all these different attorneys 3 and some of them wouldn't -- wouldn't work with her. But 4 I don't remember a specific mediation. Is the reason that she was telling you that 6 these lawyers wouldn't work with her is because she was 7 potentially asking them to do something illicit in terms I don't think she ever said that, but that was 10 what I was taking away from it. Do you know, in any way did Nancy ever use any 12 congressional or campaign funds to pay for these lawyers 13 to handle her personal business? I don't know. Okay. Do you know in any way -- this is a slightly 17 different question. You probably still won't know. Do you know in any way how these lawyers were 19 getting compensated? I do not know. I was under the assumption she 21 was paying them, but Nancy doesn't have a lot of personal 22 money so I do not know. Q So let me ask a different question: Do you 24 recall Nancy Mace settling the real property dispute with 25 Patrick Bryant? Page 60 Only from what I read in newspapers. Oh. Gotcha. That happened well after I was gone. Okay. Isn't it true that she settled those about a 6 week before her congressional --No. I think what you're confusing, if I'm 8 right, there was a settlement agreement in or around May 9 of 2024. But the properties -- some of the properties 10 sold at a later date, which is probably what you're 11 thinking about, because that would've been public record. 12 You know, the settlement's like, hey, we're gonna sell 13 this property; here's how we're gonna split the proceeds. 14 Properties have to sell. So the property -- what you're 15 referring to, I think, were some articles where the 16 properties did sell because the reporters are watching. A Got it. Gotcha. I was under the assumption when I left in 19 August that those properties were still -- still being 20 mediated, but I did not know that. That's why -- I'll ask this prophylactic 22 question, then: Did Nancy ever express any unhappiness or 23 any personal dissatisfaction with the way the real estate 24 properties that she and Patrick shared were being divided?

A All the time. She wanted 100 percent

Page 64

Page 61 1 ownership. And she would say it all the time. And that 1 believe. So that has been an issue that she was 2 she was going to -- if she did not get 100 percent 2 passionate about, but I never heard of the voyeurism and 3 ownership, then she was going to ruin him and Eric. 3 these other issues. 4 never mentioned John or Brian, but that she would When you met with Nancy in November 2023 at 5 absolutely ruin them with the information that she got 5 Shiki, was there any discussion about her writing any kind 6 about 'em. 6 of bills or legislation in any way related to the Why was she insistent on ruining Eric's life 7 photographs she was showing you from Patrick Bryant's 0 8 with information she had if Patrick didn't agree to give 8 phone? 9 her 100 percent of the properties she and Patrick shared? No. She specifically stated that she was going I don't know. I was just always under the 10 to use the information she found for -- to gain ownership 10 11 impression that she hated Eric, but I don't know. 11 of the two properties. 12 Got it. Did Nancy ever tell you -- did she ever express Okay. Let me -- I'm gonna frame a time frame 13 that she had a legitimate ownership interest in 100 13 14 here. From November 2023 -- which is the meeting at Shiki 14 percent of those properties or just that she wanted them 15 we discussed earlier -- to your departure on August 8th, 15 and, therefore, was gonna use this information to obtain 16 2024, was there ever any discussion of a political 16 that result? 17 campaign, Nancy Mace For Congress political campaign or That she owned half of each and that she was 18 for office itself for Congress, was there ever any 18 going to take 100 percent ownership of them using the 19 discussion about Nancy campaigning on Patrick Bryant, Eric 19 materials she found on Patrick's phone and computer. 20 Bowman, John Osborne, or Brian Musgrave being sexual The question I'm asking is a small distinction. Did she have any legitimate belief that she was 21 predators in some way? 22 actually legally entitled to 100 percent of those 2.2 Α No. Between November 23, 2023, and August 8th, 23 properties? 24 2024, if Nancy had published to anyone allegations that A No. No; she was going to use -- and her words 25 Patrick Bryant, Eric Bowman, John Osborne or Brian 25 -- the materials as leverage. "He's gonna give 'em to me $$\operatorname{\textsc{Page}}$$ 1 Musgraves in any way contributed to the sexual assault of 1 or I'm gonna ruin him." 2 women, would those comments in any way be related to her 2 Yeah. 3 congressional office and its responsibilities? In your experience, did Nancy ever discuss No. 4 using leverage, blackmail, reputational harm, or public 5 pressure against her political or personal adversaries? And to make sure we're clear about what you Ask that question again; I'm sorry. 6 said earlier, you can say that because if statements about 7 Patrick Bryant, Eric Bowman, John Osborne, or Brian We talked about these tactics of Nancy using 8 dirt for leverage. Did Nancy use that sort of leverage 8 Musgraves being involved in sexual assault was part of her 9 congressional responsibilities, you would've heard about 9 and reputational harm against other people? 10 it at the pineapple meetings that you had every Monday. 10 Do you have specific recollection of examples? 11 Α Yes. 11 12 To state that yet a different way, if you During the 2024 primary, she was able to find 13 didn't hear about it during the pineapple meetings that 13 some information about Catherine Templeton's son, and she 14 she had every Monday, it wasn't part of her congressional 14 leaked that information to the press and asked us to 15 responsibilities or campaign. 15 utilize it, use it in campaign marketing; and I told her 16 Yeah; they were on Fridays. But if she were 16 that I didn't wanna do it and I thought it was beneath her 17 planning on that sort of a move, then I would've heard 17 and that nobody cared about what some kid was doing. Do you have any specific recollections of those 18 about it. Q Between November 2023 and August 8th, 2024, was 19 types of tactics? 19 20 Nancy Mace protecting women against voyeurism, recordings, 20 Α Not off the top of my head. 21 home cameras? Was that in any way part of her detailed No worries.

> 22 I know you probably listened to Nancy Mace's 23 floor speech in January of 2024 that named Patrick Bryant 24 and Eric Bowman and Osborne as predators. Did you ever 25 read the speech, as well?

22 congressional responsibilities during that time frame?

24 know, because of her first -- Nancy was raped -- Nancy 25 says she was raped before when she was in college, I

23

Not that I recall. I mean, she always -- you

 $\label{eq:page 65} \mbox{I've read parts of it, but I don't think I read}$ 2 the whole thing. Are the allegations -- Nancy made efforts in 4 that speech to tie what she was doing in that speech to 5 her congressional activities in office. Α Uh-huh (witness indicated an affirmative 7 response). Did you ever hear the issues she raised in this 9 speech being related to her official role in Congress or 10 any responsibilities she was undertaking in Congress 11 during the time of November 2023 to when you left in 12 August of 2024? A 13 Do you ever hear from anybody in Nancy's orbit 14 15 after you left from August 2024 through the present? 16 No. Okay. 17 Let me ask about these names and ask if vou've 18 19 heard anything from Nancy about these people. Did Nancy ever mention anything to you about a 20 21 lady named or ? It's , she goes by 22 23 No. I mean, I know who she is, but no. How do you know who 25 Because I later found out that that was John 1 Osborne's girlfriend, supposedly the girl on the couch. How did you find that out? 2 I don't recall. It could've been that SLED 4 conversation. Okay. 6 While we're on that topic, did SLED relay to 8 you what Nancy had told SLED? Α Did SLED ever show you anything? Pictures, 10 11 videos, anything like that? 12 Is the conversation you had with SLED tracking 14 with what we've discussed today or is there things in the 15 conversation with SLED that we haven't discussed yet? No, it tracks. 16 17 Did Nancy Mace ever mention a lady by the name 18 of to you? No; I've never heard that name. 19 Did Nancy ever mention someone by the name of 20 Q 21 Sam Staley to you? I don't recall, but I know Sam from other 22 23 things; but I don't recall if she talked about Sam or not.

24 She probably talked about Sam, but I would've known Sam

25 prior.

Page 67 Gotcha. Did Nancy in any way discuss any conversations 3 she might've had with Sam Staley about Patrick Bryant in No. Let me ask now -- so I've got an exhibit I've 7 marked, which is Exhibit E, I wanna show you really quick. 8 I wanna get your understanding for how all this works. What I'm showing you that has been marked as 10 Exhibit E -- this isn't mine, but I actually received the 11 same thing. I get inundated with these WinRed texts. I'm 12 thinking about changing my phone number, in fact. And one 13 of them that came out was this. It's not coming up. I see the PDF, but I don't 15 see what's in the PDF. That's weird. Let me try again. Yeah; see it 17 paused it, so it was blacking out the screen. Give me 18 another second. Not sure why it was doing this. Doug, you sent those to me, didn't you? Can you see it now? 21 How do these text messages or these fundraising 0 23 messages for the campaign work? Like who's responsible 24 for these? Who sends 'em out? Who drafts all this? The answer is it's dependent upon the day. In Page 68 1 other words, after we terminated our contract, then it 2 a different firm; but this is the kind of work that my 3 company does. So prior, the answer would be us. 4 Afterward, it'd be whoever she's using now that we no 5 longer work with her. And how does this work, like when these texts 7 go out? Like do you guys buy phone numbers and send these 8 texts out and you just link it back to these WinRed 9 platforms for fundraising? How does that all come 10 together? Most of them are done through different kind of 12 list agreements. We don't buy numbers. Typically, if you 13 received it, you donated to a different Republican; and 14 then we shop around those different lists. I told you 15 that I have a fourth company called RCL. That's all that 16 company does, is it rents data to different political 17 campaigns and organizations. So it's -- to answer how 18 does this work would take me an hour in itself; but 19 basically, it's a -- a company such as ours comes up with 20 a concept, sends 'em to a campaign, campaign approves, and 21 then we start sending it around to different lists. 22 So if I wanted to find out like who the new 24 Push Digital was basically, for this, I would need to ask

25 Nancy Mace who their campaign digital marketing team or

1 'em, and then she'll put 'em all in ChatGPT and then say 1 consultant is? I mean, you could; but you showed me an exhibit 2 "Hey, give me some more tweets that are like this." 3 earlier with some FEC information. You can go to that Wow. 4 same FEC site and find out, you can look at her Definitely. 5 expenditures and find out who her vendors are. If you see the Oxford comma being used a lot. I'm a lit major, so you are -- boy, you're Okay; gotcha. 7 Then I wanna show you -- I have a compilation 7 taking me back. 8 of tweets. Let me go to a different page here. And this So that em dash and the Oxford comma are the 9 is Exhibit F. I'll pull that up. It's taking a second 9 two biggest signs of ChatGPT. 10 because it's so large. This is a Word document, but I That's so funny. Ω 11 think we turned it into a PDF, but it's just a collection Α The things you learn. I've learned a lot of things, but that is 12 of tweets just leading up to and then after the 13 congressional floor speech from May of 2024. Some of 13 probably the most-interesting thing I've learned all day. 14 these, I'm sure you've seen. You're welcome to flip Okay; this account Rep Nancy Mace, is that 15 through 'em at your leisure. I can make 'em bigger for 15 associated with her congressional office or her campaign 16 you. 17 Do you know, does Nancy write these tweets That's her congressional office. 17 18 herself or does someone on her staff do this for her? Ω And so the account associated with her Both. I'm trying to look up the girl's name 19 campaign, that just says Nancy Mace or Nancy R. Mace or 20 right now. I'm sorry. Give me two seconds. Okay; so --20 something like that? 21 I can't find her name. So Nancy has a new person that I think it's Nancy Mace, but that was the 22 account we used to control. We never had this one. 22 works for her now. I can't find it. It's gonna take me a 23 little bit of time, but I can find the name of it. She Q When you were doing the campaign, it was just 24 has a young woman who is her new communications director 24 as Nancy Mace, basically. 25 in her official office who has been handling her Twitter 25 Α Yeah. Page 70 Page 72 Okay. Gotcha; gotcha; gotcha. 1 account. Someone that goes by the name of Sydney, maybe? 2 So is there actually a division of labor that's 3 kind of usually well respected in terms of what person I think that's correct. Is it Tiffany? She 4 tweeted it the other day, "My new comms director." 4 being paid money from what accounts are using -- excuse That would be since -- these tweets are like 5 me; let me rephrase that. 6 back in -- these are February '25 --Is there usually a respected division of labor No, no, no. She was doing the campaign stuff. 7 about Nancy Mace's official congressional Twitter account 8 Now she's got an official comms director. She started 8 being populated or authored by Nancy or someone else being 9 working with her right before we left. I think her name 9 paid by the congressional office as opposed to there being 10 is Claire. Anyway, so Nancy writes a lot of 'em herself. 10 a cross-filtering where maybe someone from the campaign's 11 This new girl writes 'em, and then a lot of what she 11 also running her official account or -- do you understand 12 tweets out these days is from ChatGPT. 12 what I'm asking? Is it all hands on deck between the 0 Which one? 13 campaign and congressional office about which platforms Go down, go down; that one. 14 are being used and who's authoring what? 14 "God placed a burden in my path. Not to crush 15 Yes. So it could be a mixup. You could have people 16 me, but to ignite"? 17 Yeah; that one's ChatGPT. 17 from the campaign paid by the campaign using the official How can you tell? 18 account like it's official. 18 See that long dash? It's called a em dash, e-m Α Yes. 19 19 20 dash. Normally, people don't use that, but ChatGPT does. 20 Okay. Interesting. When you're in someone's office, is it typical 22 So does she ask ChatGPT to come up with the 22 for most candidates to respect the line between 23 tweets, and then she cuts and pastes them in the Twitter? 23 congressional mediums of communication versus campaign

24 mediums of communication?

A Yes. There's supposed to be. We demand it

Yeah; a lot of 'em. Sometimes she writes 'em

25 herself. But what she'll do is she'll write a bunch of

Page 73 1 when we work with a candidate. We never touch their 1 without their consent. But that was the only thing that 2 official stuff 2 she ever discussed with me; and as of August 23rd -- or Q 3 I'm sorry; August 3rd, or whatever that date was that we Which is why we have that whole separate 4 terminated the contract, that was the only thing that she 5 company that does franking. We have a complete separation 5 had ever discussed. 6 of church and state here to never touch. Do you have prior experience of Nancy using the 7 powers of her congressional office as a pretext for Q Right. I have some notes here, so give me two seconds. 8 personal gain, personal financial gain, or personal 8 9 I've showed you this document with all these 9 motives? 10 tweets, and I know that you are an active Twitter user. I Personal financial gain? I don't think so. Α 11 don't use Twitter a ton; but in researching this case, I 11 But she used her power of office all the time for personal 12 certainly know that you have an active presence on Twitter 12 reasons. I mean, the other day she got pissed at a guy 13 and that you did around the time that all these tweets in 13 named Steven Ryan who worked with the communications 14 Exhibit E or F were published. So you do recall seeing a 14 director for the sheriff of Dorchester County and called 15 lot of these; is that correct? 15 the sheriff demanding that he fire the guy a month before 16 16 the guy's wedding for no reason saying that she demands it Did the sentiments and positions being tweeted 17 as the congresswoman and that her congressional office 17 18 would never work with the sheriff again. That's the shit 18 from just leading up to and after the floor congressional 19 speech in January 2025, and then for about a month or so 19 she pulled. 20 after, were these topics being discussed in your Friday 2.0 What was the personal objective, you think? 21 pineapple meetings between November 2023 and August 2024? 21 Α Ego. Okay. Got it. 22 Α No. 2.2 Okay. 23 But in like that instance, you can't think of 23 Let me check some of my notes here. 24 what the personal benefit to her is other than flexing. 25 moving right along, so thank you so much. One minute, and 25 Α Yeah. Page 76 1 I'll go ahead and check notes real quick. I might be Got it. Got it. 2 farther along. 2 The answer is I don't know. I could only tell 3 you what I've seen. And what I know is that she believed (The deposition took a short recess.) 4 BY MR. BREWER: 4 -- and she did believe -- that Patrick cheated on her and Q All right. 5 had pictures of women without their consent; and she sat Based on the fact that you have had a history 6 on that information for well over a year, did not wanna 7 of communications with Nancy Mace about some of the topics 7 contact authorities, and asked me to blackmail Patrick 8 that she expressed on the floor in January of 2025, do you 8 Bryant. 9 have an opinion based on your prior communications with 10 Nancy Mace about the veracity or truthfulness of the Did you see the recent interaction with her and 10 11 this guy James McIntyre about a handshake incident? 11 allegations she made in her congressional floor speech 12 about Patrick Bryant, Eric Bowman, John Osborne, or Brian 12 Α Yeah 13 Musgraves? 0 And did you have any knowledge about that? I don't believe a word that comes out of Nancy I mean, there's a number of things. Are we --14 15 Mace's mouth about anything. And that has nothing to do 15 we're not talking about the makeup place. 16 with this specific incident. I just believe that Nancy 16 0 No; James McIntyre, the --17 Mace will say and do anything for personal and political The guy in the bathroom at the Capitol? 18 gain. And I also believe that had she believed that there Yeah. She claims she was assaulted from a 18 19 was these larger acts of rape and sex trafficking 19 handshake by a guy with some kind of gay rights --20 occurring, that she would've talked to me about it. And 20 Α 21 that is not to belittle the accusations that she did bring -- orphanage, or something like that. 22 up, such as taking pictures of women without their 22 Α 23 consent, but that is a far cry from sex trafficking. I didn't know if you had any information about 0 23

25

24 that at all.

I don't have any information, but it's typical

24

Got it.

And I don't know if he took pictures of women

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{Page}}\xspace$ 1 Nancy. She's always the victim. She'll use anything to

2 be able to say that she's the victim. That someone hurt

- 3 her, someone keyed her car, someone slashed her tire,
- 4 someone vandalized her house, someone took a picture of
- 5 her kid, someone harassed her at a makeup place. It's --
- 5 her kid, someone harassed her at a makeup place. It's --
- $\ensuremath{\text{6}}$ every day there's -- someone else is doing something.
- 7 She's always the victim of something. I've never met a
- 8 human being that was always such a victim.
- 9 Q Okay; give me one quick second. I'm almost
- 10 done. I might have one more line.
- Wes, let me ask you a couple questions.
- 12 Since your breakup professionally with Ms. Mace
- 13 in August of 2024, have you been under the impression or
- 14 do you have information that Nancy is in any way trying to
- 15 target you or your businesses in retaliation for some
- 16 perceived sleight or disagreement with your office?
- 17 A Not yet. But that's why I didn't wanna have to
- 18 do this. In fact, that's why I didn't wanna have to talk
- 19 to you, Barrett. I mean, you know, you had to subpoena me
- 20 because I didn't want to have to do this. But my guess is
- 21 that she's going to seek retribution, which is what I
- 22 wanted to avoid.
- Q No one wants to be involved for that very
- 24 reason; right?
- 25 A Yeah.
 - Page $^\prime$ 1 Q Have you, in the time that you dealt with
- 2 Nancy, ever known her to get personally involved with any
- 3 type of state-level law enforcement or SLED investigations
- 4 as part of her role at Congress?
- 5 A I don't think so. Or at least I don't recall.
- $\ensuremath{\text{6}}\xspace$ I mean, I worked with her for years, and every week it was
- 7 something different; so it could've been, but I don't
- $\ensuremath{\mathbf{8}}$ recall anything like that.
- 10 the statements and allegations that Nancy Mace has levied
- 11 against Patrick Bryant, John Osborne, and Eric Bowman, if
- 12 they -- as I understand the history, those allegations
- 13 started with Nancy saying "Because I didn't get the two
- 14 houses, I'm gonna use the information about what I got off 15 Patrick's phone" when she hacked his phone to ruin them.
- 16 The endgame of that was the floor speech in Congress,
- 17 based on what she told you?
- 18 A That's what I believe; yes.
- 19 Q And so the original undertaking was not a
- 20 congressional office motive, it was a personal motive in
- 21 relation to her retaliation for not getting the financial
- 22 and real property assets she demanded.
- 23 A That is my belief. My belief is that she did
- 24 exactly what she told me she was going to do.
- ${\tt Q}$ That's your belief based on what she told you,

- 1 in other words.
- 2 A Nancy Mace told me that she was going to use
- 3 this information to gain 100 percent ownership of these
- 4 houses; and if that she didn't get them, she was going to
- 5 absolutely ruin the reputations of Patrick Bryant and Eric
- 6 Bowman. She didn't ever mention John Osborne, she didn't
- 7 mention Brian Musgraves. I don't know how they got dipped
- 8 in this whole thing. But she told me she was going to do
- 9 that to Patrick and Eric. And in my eyes, that's exactly
- 10 what she did.
- 11 Q Was it your understanding that Nancy Mace had
- 12 gotten 100 percent ownership of the real property, that
- 13 she would've continued to sit on the information about
- 14 what she quote hacked from Patrick's phone and the
- 15 allegations and accusation she took from them?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q All right. Give me one second; I think I'm
- 18 done.
- So just in followup, we've now kinda come full
- 20 circle on several things. I don't wanna beat a dead
- 21 horse. Is there anything about these lines of
- 22 conversation or topics that we've been over today that you
- 23 would either like to clarify for the record or I didn't
- 24 ask the right question that you think is relevant to these
- 25 discussions that you think would help us understand the
 - Page 80

- 1 truth of what happened?
- 2 A You covered it pretty well.
- 3 Q Thank you. I'll take that as a compliment.
- 4 All right. Well Wes, listen, you did this
- 5 while you don't feel good and I can't tell you how much
- 6 I'm appreciative of that and I hope you get to go back to
- 7 bed and pull the covers up. Feel better.
- 8 A Thank you.
- 9 O Thank you so much.
- 10 A Of course. Thanks, guys.
- 11 MR. BREWER: All right; we'll be in
- 12 touch.
- 13 MR. MacKELCAN: Thank you.
- 14 MR. BARRETT: Thanks, Doug.
- 15 THE STENOGRAPHIC REPORTER: Doug, did
- 16 you want a copy?
- 17 MR. MacKELCAN: I don't need a copy of
- 18 it.
- 19 THE STENOGRAPHIC REPORTER: Okay.
- 20 How 'bout you, Barrett?
- 21 MR. BREWER: Yes. I'll take the
 - 22 standard e-tran. And if you can copy Amanda and Nichelle
 - 23 Robinson.
 - 24 THE STENOGRAPHIC REPORTER: You got it.
 - 25 (The deposition was concluded at 12:41 p.m.)

COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE TESTIMONY

CONTAINED IN SAID DEPOSITION WAS, BY ME, REDUCED TO

WRITING IN THE PRESENCE OF SAID WITNESS BY MEANS OF A

COMPUTERIZED TRANSCRIPTION. THE SAID DEPOSITION IS A TRUE

AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT OF THE WHOLE OF THE TESTIMONY

GIVEN BY SAID WITNESS, AS AFORESAID.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NOT CONNECTED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE WITH ANY OF THE PARTIES OR THEIR ATTORNEYS OR AGENTS, AND THAT I AM NOT AN EMPLOYEE OF EITHER OF THEM, NOR INTERESTED DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY IN THE MATTER OF CONTROVERSY EITHER AS COUNSEL, ATTORNEY, AGENT, OR OTHERWISE.

SIGNED THIS 11th DAY OF MAY, 2025.

LORI WEBBER, NOTARY PUBLIC

My commission expires: April 7, 2027